ROGER WILLIAMS, : Order Docketing and Dismissing Appeal

Appellant

:

v.

Docket No. IBIA 98-121-A

PHOENIX AREA DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS,

Appellee : September 22, 1998

Appellant Roger Williams filed an appeal with the Board of Indian Appeals (Board) under 25 C.F.R. § 2.8, seeking review of the failure of the Phoenix Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs (Area Director; BIA), to respond to a June 29, 1998, request for decision. By order dated July 31, 1998, the Board requested that the Area Director provide it with information concerning the status of this matter before him.

The Area Director responded that he was addressing the appeal before him and, barring unforeseen circumstances, expected to issue a decision by October 1, 1998.

In <u>Shaahook Group of Capitan Grande Band of Diegueno Mission Indians v. Director</u>, <u>Office of Tribal Services</u>, 27 IBIA 43, 45 (1994), the Board stated:

[T]he information before the Board is that the Director is working on appellant's request, although [the Director's] \* \* \* letter did not precisely meet the requirements of 25 CFR 2.8. Section 2.8 is an action-forcing mechanism. It has been the Board's experience that when BIA is working on a response to a request for action under section 2.8, even though BIA has not technically met all of the requirements of that section, it is more beneficial to the parties to allow BIA to complete its review, and issue a decision. [The Board] sees no reason to believe that this case is different.

See also Hackford v. Phoenix Area Director, 30 IBIA 270 (1997).

The Board sees no reason to believe that the present case is different. Therefore, the Board dismisses this appeal so that the Area Director may continue to address, and perhaps resolve, Appellant's concerns.

Interior, 43 C.F.R. \$ 4.1, this appeal is do	to the Board of Indian Appeals by the Secretary of the cketed and dismissed without prejudice. If Appellant n the Area Director, he may appeal that decision to the
e	ctions which the Area Director will include in his
	Kathryn A. Lynn
	Chief Administrative Judge
	Anita Vogt Administrative Judge